

To seal a document filed in relation to a dispositive motion, the movant must provide “compelling reasons” to warrant secrecy. *See, e.g., Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006). Exhibit 16 is Defendant’s Servicing Agreement, which the Court has found through an order issued concurrently herewith merits secrecy. For the same reasons as outlined in that order, the Court hereby **GRANTS** the motion to seal as to Exhibit 16. Because that exhibit was improperly submitted for *in camera* review rather than filed on the docket under seal, the Court further **ORDERS** Plaintiffs to file, no later than November 6, 2014, a copy of Exhibit 16 on the docket under seal.

1 Exhibit D consists of audit manuals. *See* Docket No. 80 at 4-6. Defendant argues that the
2 audit manuals constitute trade secrets, that Defendant maintains the confidentiality of their contents,
3 and that revelation of the audit manuals would result in Defendant being competitively
4 disadvantaged. *See* Docket No. 80 at 6-8. Defendant also argues that the exhibit cannot be easily
5 redacted while leaving other meaningful information available to the public. *See id.* at 9. The Court
6 agrees that compelling reasons exist to allow Exhibit D to be filed under seal and hereby **GRANTS**
7 the motion to seal as to Exhibit D. Because that exhibit was improperly submitted for *in camera*
8 review rather than filed on the docket under seal, the Court further **ORDERS** Defendant to file, no
9 later than November 6, 2014, a copy of Exhibit D on the docket under seal.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 DATED: October 29, 2014

12 
13 NANCY J. KOPPE
14 United States Magistrate Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28